

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,595	02/03/2004	Ashley Stuart Davis	1135	
7590 05/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CYTOSKELETON INC.			LUKTON, DAVID	
C/O ASHLEY I	DAVIS			
1830 SOUTH ACOMA ST.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, CO 80223			1653	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/24/2005	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/771,595	DAVIS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		David Lukton	1653				
1	The MAILING DATE of this communic			ddress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2005.							
·	•	This action is non-final.					
3)□ Si							
cle	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ CI	laim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□ CI	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) CI	man and the state of the state						
8)⊠ CI	8) Claim(s) 1-5 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Informat	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Po(s)/Mail Date	,	ce of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a method of stabilizing pyrene actin.
- II. Claims 4-5, drawn to (a) a formulation that requires the presence of a specific buffer and (b) a method of reconstituting lyophilized pyrene actin.

Inventions I and II are distinct. Group I is drawn to a method of stabilizing pyrene actin, and subsequently lyophilizing it, whereas Group II is drawn to a method of reconstituting pyrene actin that has been lyophilized.

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species (as follows) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

In the event that Group I is chosen for initial examination, election of the following is required:

- a) one of the following: (i) a process in which concentration of pyrene actin is required prior to lyophilization, or (ii) a process in which concentration of pyrene actin is not required prior to lyophilization;
- b) in the event that a process is elected in which concentration of pyrene actin is required prior to lyophilization, what is the concentration of the pyrene actin at the end of the concentrating process, but before lyophilization?
- c) one of the following: (i) the presence of a reducing agent is required prior to lyophilization, or (ii) the presence of a reducing agent is not required prior to lyophilization;
- d) in the event that a process is elected in which presence of a reducing agent is required prior to lyophilization, which reducing agent is used, and what is its concentration?

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber, can be reached at 571-272-0925. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800